Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/579,911	HANZAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FERNANDO N. HIDALGO	2827		

	FERNANDO N. HIDALGO	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,70(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" "# 07 OFD 44 07	The state of the state of the state of			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the drifling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i They raise new issues that would require further contained they are the sum of the proposed and they are the sum of the proposed amendment of	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (i	31 OL-324).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the content of the content					
non-allowable claim(s).	lowable ii submitted iii a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the		
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	planation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>18-20</u> . Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 11-17.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:					
/A. Zarabian/					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks filed on 6/8/09, wherein it is alleged that the teachings of Kengreria and the teachings of lines are not properly combinable for the detailed reasons of toth in Applicant's Amendment dated October 6, 2008" are not persuasive at all. In fact, during an in-person interview held on 6/10/09 (see attached interview summary) it was clearly pointed out to the applicant's representatives that the teachings of Lines and the teachings of Kengeri are very strongly related since exemplarily both teach a content addressable memory (CAM), having substantially the same architecture and functionality, and have strong, in combination, obvious commonnality with the subject matter of the instant application, as claimed: a content addressable memory (CAM) in a semiconductor integrated circuit. The alleged reasons detailed in Applicant's filing of 10/6/09 are thus, once more, found to be not persuasive. Finally, for at least the reasons as set forth above, the instant application, as claimed, is not found to be in better form for allowance.